



1RW 3691

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of: **TAMURA, Tomohiko**

Group Art Unit: **3691**

Serial No.: **09/820,979**

Examiner: **Daniel Kesack**

Filed: **March 30, 2001**

P.T.O. Confirmation No.: 4195

For: **E-MONEY MANAGING METHOD AND SYSTEM, E-MONEY  
MANAGING/HOLDING MEDIUM, COMPUTER-READABLE  
RECORDING MEDIUM IN WHICH E-MONEY MANAGING  
PROGRAM IS RECORDED, E-VALUE MANAGING/HOLDING  
MEDIUM, AND E-VALUE MANAGING METHOD**

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

June 18, 2007

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated **March 20, 2007**, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the following prior art rejections:

1. The 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection of claims 1-3, 7-10, 14-18, 22-25 and 29 as unpatentable over Cohen in view of Rudisill; and
2. The 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection of claims 4-6, 11-13, 14-21 and 26-28 as unpatentable over Cohen, Rudisill and Hudson et al.

In Applicant's previous response filed August 30, 2006, it was argued:

Claim 1 has been amended based on page 27, lines 11-14 of the specification of the instant application (part of the second embodiment). Claim 1, as amended, recites the steps of: (b) discriminating whether or not a payment *from a single storage section* is for a particular purpose when making the payment; (c) discriminating whether or not a total balance of electronic money ("total